

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/001,715	11/15/2001	Ernest R. Siler	DP-305919	3513
7590 06/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Scott A. McBain			WILLIAMS, THOMAS J	
Delphi Technologies, Inc. Mail Code: 480-414-420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 5052 Troy, MI 48007-5052			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/001,715 SILER ET AL. **Advisory Action** Examiner **Art Unit** Thomas J. Williams 3683 --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 03 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] a) Market The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: . Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) rejected: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_. 8. The drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner. 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

10. ☑ Other: Notice of References Cited

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: La Barge teaches the undercut wall portion as being formed on a radially outer surface. It is the position of the examiner that Yoshida et al., already being provided with a ledge (equivalent to the applicant's ledge 36), as modified by La Barge would have had the undercut portion formed at the outer radial surface, as in the applicant's invention. As understood by the examiner a crimped connection requires having the crimped element received within an undercut portion or recess. Thus the basis for the rejection. Furthermore, Yoshida et al. provides the motivation for utilizing a crimping method and associated undercut portion. The method of installation is not pertinent to the invention of claims 1 or 7. Therefore the arguments regarding the installation of the crossover member in the invention of Yoshida et al. such as the specific direction relative to the ball nut is considered more specific than the claim language. Niether claim 1 or 7 recite a particular direction from which the crossover member is installed. The overall length that the crimped portion of La Barge occupies is increased, as is clearly illustrated in figures 2, 4 and 5. The examiner does not agree or understand the applicant's argument. Furthermore, it is the opinion of the examiner that when having crimped the projection portions of Yoshida et al. one would have increased the overall length of the crossover member, since the projection portions would have been pushed outwardly. Please find attached a Notice of References Cited listing the Yoshida et al. patent.

TJW June 15, 2004

THOMAS WILLIAMS
EXTENT EXAMINER

Thomas Williams

Au 3683

6-15-04